



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,721	07/12/2001	Patrick J. Bohrer	AUS920010132US1 (9000/29)	9310
7590 11/26/2004			EXAMINER	
Frank C. Nicholas CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, IL 60201			CHERY, MARDOCHEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	
DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/903,721

**Applicant(s)**

BOHRER ET AL.

**Examiner**

Mardochee Chery

**Art Unit**

2188

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mardochee Chery.(3) Paul Hietko (Reg. No. 51806).(2) Pierre Vital.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,5,9-12 and 19-21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Craig (5,790,176).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Mardochee Chery*  
Mardochee Chery  
Examiner AU: 2188

*Pierre M. Vital*  
Pierre M. Vital  
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argue that the rejections of claims 9-10, and 19-20, under 112 first paragraph was persuasive. Thus the rejection will be withdrawn upon submission upon filing of a response. The rejections of claims 2, 5, 12, and 15, under 112 2nd paragraph will be withdrawn upon applicant filing a response. As per claims 2 and 12, applicant's suggestion to reorder the claims's limitations would overcome the 112, second paragraph rejection. As per claims 1, 11, and 21, it appears that applicant's proposed amendment, that the plurality of disks are RAID disks in claims 1, 11, and 21, would overcome the 103 (a) rejections in view of Craig (5,790,176) .